

# MAS651 Theory of Stochastic Processes

## Homework #7

20150597 Jeonghwan Lee

Department of Mathematical Sciences, KAIST

May 5, 2021

Throughout this homework, let  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  denote the set of all non-negative integers,  $\mathbb{R}_+$  be the set of all non-negative real numbers, and  $[a : b] := \{a, a + 1, \dots, b - 1, b\}$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a \leq b$ . We also write  $[n] := [1 : n]$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover,  $\uplus$  denotes the *disjoint union*, and given a set  $A$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\binom{A}{k} := \{B \subseteq A : |B| = k\}$ . Also, we use the symbol  $\mathbb{S}$  instead of  $S$  to denote the underlying state space of stochastic processes. Moreover, let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  denote the underlying probability space and  $\{B(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$  refers to a *standard one-dimensional Brownian motion* defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , *i.e.*, a one-dimensional Brownian motion defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with  $B(0) = 0$ .

**Problem 1** (*Exercise 7.1.1. in [1]*).

We know that  $B(s)$  and  $B(t) - B(s)$  are independent and

$$B(s) \sim \mathbf{N}(0, s) \quad \text{and} \quad B(t) - B(s) \sim \mathbf{N}(0, t - s),$$

where  $\mathbf{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  denotes the normal distribution with mean  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and variance  $\sigma^2 \in (0, +\infty)$ . So the joint pdf of  $(B(s), B(t) - B(s))$  is given by

$$f(x, y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2s}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-s)}} \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2(t-s)}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{s(t-s)}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x^2}{s} + \frac{y^2}{t-s}\right)\right\}$$

for  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Hence, we have for every  $0 < s < t < +\infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\{B(s) > 0, B(t) > 0\} &= \mathbb{P}\{B(s) > 0, \{B(t) - B(s)\} + B(s) > 0\} \\
&= \int_{\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2: x>0, x+y>0\}} f(x, y) dx dy \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{=} \int_{\{(u,v) \in \mathbb{R}^2: u>0, \sqrt{su} + \sqrt{t-sv} > 0\}} f(\sqrt{su}, \sqrt{t-sv}) \cdot \sqrt{s(t-s)} du dv \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\{(u,v) \in \mathbb{R}^2: u>0, \sqrt{su} + \sqrt{t-sv} > 0\}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(u^2 + v^2)\right\} du dv \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\{(r,\theta) \in [0,+\infty) \times [0,2\pi): r>0, -\arctan(\sqrt{\frac{s}{t-s}}) < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}\}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}r^2\right) \cdot r dr d\theta \\
&\stackrel{(c)}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \int_0^\infty r \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}r^2\right) dr \right) \left( \int_{-\arctan(\sqrt{\frac{s}{t-s}}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} d\theta \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \arctan\left(\sqrt{\frac{s}{t-s}}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \arcsin\left(\sqrt{\frac{s}{t}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the steps (a)–(c) can be justified as follows:

- (a) change of variables with  $(x, y) = (\sqrt{su}, \sqrt{t-sv})$ ;
- (b) change of variables with  $(u, v) = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$ ;
- (c) the Fubini-Tonelli's theorem.

**Problem 2** (*Exercise 7.1.4.* in [1]).

We begin with some formal definitions. Let  $(\mathbb{S}, \mathcal{S})$  be a measurable space and  $\mathbb{T}$  be any index set.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathbb{S}^\mathbb{T} := \{\omega(\cdot) : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}\}$  and  $\mathcal{S}^\mathbb{T}$  be the  $\sigma$ -field on  $\mathbb{S}^\mathbb{T}$  generated by the collection of *cylindrical sets*

$$\left\{ \left\{ \omega(\cdot) \in \mathbb{S}^\mathbb{T} : \omega(t_1) \in A_1, \omega(t_2) \in A_2, \dots, \omega(t_n) \in A_n \right\} : n \in \mathbb{N}, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{T}, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{S} \right\}.$$

We call  $\mathcal{S}^\mathbb{T}$  the *product  $\sigma$ -field* or the *cylindrical  $\sigma$ -field* on  $\mathbb{S}^\mathbb{T}$ .

**Definition 2.** For any subsets  $I \subseteq J \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ , let  $\pi_I^J : \mathbb{S}^J \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^I$  denote the canonical projection map  $f \mapsto f|_I$ . Let  $\Sigma(\mathbb{T})$  be the collection of all countable subsets of  $\mathbb{T}$ . Given any  $\mathbb{C} \in \Sigma(\mathbb{T})$  and  $E \in \mathcal{S}^\mathbb{C}$ , we define

$$[\mathbb{C}, E] := \left\{ \omega(\cdot) \in \mathbb{S}^\mathbb{T} : \pi_\mathbb{C}^\mathbb{T}(\omega) = (\omega(t) : t \in \mathbb{C}) \in E \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{S}^\mathbb{T}.$$

We say that a subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{S}^\mathbb{T}$  has a *countable representation* if  $A = [\mathbb{C}, E]$  for some  $\mathbb{C} \in \Sigma(\mathbb{T})$  and  $E \in \mathcal{S}^\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\text{CR}(\mathbb{S}^\mathbb{T})$  denote the collection of all subsets of  $\mathbb{S}^\mathbb{T}$  which has a countable representation.

**Lemma 1.**  $\text{CR}(\mathbb{S}^\mathbb{T})$  forms a  $\sigma$ -field on  $\mathbb{S}^\mathbb{T}$ .

*Proof of Lemma 1.*

To begin with, it's clear that  $\emptyset$  and  $\mathbb{S}^\mathbb{T}$  belong to  $\text{CR}(\mathbb{S}^\mathbb{T})$ .

- (i) If  $A \in \text{CR}(\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}})$ , then  $A = [\mathbb{C}, E]$  for some  $\mathbb{C} \in \Sigma(\mathbb{T})$  and  $E \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Then,  $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}} \setminus A = [\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E] \in \text{CR}(\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}})$ .
- (ii) Let  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be any sequence in  $\text{CR}(\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}})$ . Then we may write  $A_n = [\mathbb{C}_n, E_n]$  for some  $\mathbb{C}_n \in \Sigma(\mathbb{T})$  and  $E_n \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}_n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $\mathbb{C} := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}_n \in \Sigma(\mathbb{T})$ . Given any subsets  $I \subseteq J \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ , every pre-image of a cylindrical set in  $\mathbb{S}^I$  under the canonical projection map  $\pi_I^J : (\mathbb{S}^J, \mathcal{S}^J) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^I, \mathcal{S}^I)$  is also a cylindrical set in  $\mathbb{S}^J$ . Therefore, the canonical projection map  $\pi_I^J : (\mathbb{S}^J, \mathcal{S}^J) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^I, \mathcal{S}^I)$  is measurable. So we find that  $(\pi_{\mathbb{C}_n}^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(E_n) \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $D := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\pi_{\mathbb{C}_n}^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(E_n) \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Then for every  $\omega(\cdot) \in \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}}$ , the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(\cdot) \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n &\Leftrightarrow \omega(\cdot) \in A_n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \\
&\Leftrightarrow \left(\pi_{\mathbb{C}_n}^{\mathbb{T}}\right)(\omega) \in E_n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{\Leftrightarrow} \left(\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{T}}\right)(\omega) \in \left(\pi_{\mathbb{C}_n}^{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{-1}(E_n) \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \\
&\Leftrightarrow \left(\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{T}}\right)(\omega) \in D \\
&\Leftrightarrow \omega(\cdot) \in [\mathbb{C}, D],
\end{aligned}$$

where the step (a) holds since  $\pi_{\mathbb{C}_n}^{\mathbb{T}} = (\pi_{\mathbb{C}_n}^{\mathbb{C}}) \circ (\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{T}})$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, we have  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = [\mathbb{C}, D] \in \text{CR}(\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}})$ .

To sum up, we conclude that  $\text{CR}(\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}})$  is a  $\sigma$ -field on  $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}}$ . □

Now we claim that  $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{T}} = \text{CR}(\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}})$ . Choose any cylindrical set in  $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}}$ ,

$$A = \left\{ \omega(\cdot) \in \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}} : \omega(t_1) \in A_1, \omega(t_2) \in A_2, \dots, \omega(t_n) \in A_n \right\},$$

where  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{T}$  are distinct indices, and  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be any countable subset of  $\mathbb{T}$  containing  $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$ , and

$$E := \bigcap_{j=1}^n \left(\pi_{\{t_j\}}^{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{-1}(A_j) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}} : f(t_1) \in A_1, f(t_2) \in A_2, \dots, f(t_n) \in A_n \right\} \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Then,  $A = [\mathbb{C}, E] \in \text{CR}(\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}})$  and since  $\text{CR}(\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}})$  is a  $\sigma$ -field on  $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}}$  ( $\because$  Lemma 1) containing all cylindrical sets in  $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}}$ , we deduce  $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{T}} \subseteq \text{CR}(\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}})$ .

Conversely, let  $A \in \text{CR}(\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}})$ . Then,

$$A = [\mathbb{C}, E] = \left(\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{T}}\right)^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{T}},$$

since the canonical projection map  $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{T}} : (\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}}, \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{T}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}})$  is measurable. Thus, we have  $\text{CR}(\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{T}}$  and this completes the proof of our desired claim  $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{T}} = \text{CR}(\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{T}})$ . Employing this result to the case for which  $(\mathbb{S}, \mathcal{S}) = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ , where  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{R}$  denotes the Borel  $\sigma$ -field on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$ , we see that  $\mathcal{R}^{[0, +\infty)} = \mathcal{F}_0$  and obtain the desired result.

**Problem 3** (*Exercise 7.1.5. in [1]*).

To begin with, we fix any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and an exponent  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{k}, +\infty)$ . For any given  $C, T \in (0, +\infty)$ , we consider the event

$$\mathcal{A}_n(C, T) := \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \text{there is an } s \in [0, T] \text{ s.t. } |B_t(\omega) - B_s(\omega)| \leq C |t - s|^\gamma \text{ whenever } |t - s| \leq k \cdot \frac{T}{n} \right\} \in \mathcal{F},$$

and define

$$Y_{n,l} := \max \left\{ \left| B \left( (l+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n} \right) - B \left( (l+j-1) \cdot \frac{T}{n} \right) \right| : j \in [0 : k-1] \right\}$$

for each  $l \in [n - k + 1]$ , and

$$\mathcal{B}_n(C, T) := \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \text{there exists an } l \in [n - k + 1] \text{ s.t. } |Y_{n,l}(\omega)| \leq C \left( \frac{T}{n} \right)^\gamma \{k^\gamma + (k-1)^\gamma\} \right\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

**Claim 1.** *For any  $C, T \in (0, +\infty)$ , we have  $\mathcal{A}_n(C, T) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_n(C, T)$ .*

*Proof of Claim 1.*

If  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_n(C, T)$ , then there exists an  $s \in [0, T]$  such that

$$|B_t(\omega) - B_s(\omega)| \leq C |t - s|^\gamma \tag{1}$$

for every  $t \in [s - k \cdot \frac{T}{n}, s + k \cdot \frac{T}{n}]$ . Here, we may consider the following two cases:

*Case #1.*  $s \in [0, (n - k + 1) \cdot \frac{T}{n}]$ : Then  $s \in [(l - 1) \cdot \frac{T}{n}, l \cdot \frac{T}{n}]$  for some  $l \in [n - k + 1]$ . Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (l+j-1) \cdot \frac{T}{n} - s \right| &\leq j \cdot \frac{T}{n} \leq (k-1) \cdot \frac{T}{n}; \\ \left| (l+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n} - s \right| &\leq (j+1) \cdot \frac{T}{n} \leq k \cdot \frac{T}{n}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

for every  $j \in [0 : k - 1]$ , we obtain from the bound (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| B_{(l+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n}}(\omega) - B_{(l+j-1) \cdot \frac{T}{n}}(\omega) \right| &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \left| B_{(l+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n}}(\omega) - B_s(\omega) \right| + \left| B_s(\omega) - B_{(l+j-1) \cdot \frac{T}{n}}(\omega) \right| \\ &\leq C \left| (l+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n} - s \right|^\gamma + C \left| s - (l+j-1) \cdot \frac{T}{n} \right|^\gamma \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} C \left( \frac{T}{n} \right)^\gamma \{k^\gamma + (k-1)^\gamma\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the step (a) is due to the triangle inequality, and the step (b) follows from the bound (2). Thus we arrive at

$$|Y_{n,l}(\omega)| = \max \left\{ \left| B_{(l+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n}}(\omega) - B_{(l+j-1) \cdot \frac{T}{n}}(\omega) \right| : j \in [0 : k - 1] \right\} \leq C \left( \frac{T}{n} \right)^\gamma \{k^\gamma + (k-1)^\gamma\}.$$

*Case #2.*  $s \in ((n - k + 1) \cdot \frac{T}{n}, T]$ : Since  $(n - k + 1 + j) \cdot \frac{T}{n} \in [(n - k + 1) \cdot \frac{T}{n}, T]$  and  $(n - k + j) \cdot \frac{T}{n} \in [(n - k) \cdot \frac{T}{n}, T]$  for every  $j \in [0 : k - 1]$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (n - k + j) \cdot \frac{T}{n} - s \right| &\leq k \cdot \frac{T}{n}; \\ \left| (n - k + 1 + j) \cdot \frac{T}{n} - s \right| &\leq (k - 1) \cdot \frac{T}{n} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

for every  $j \in [0 : k - 1]$ . So we may deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left| B_{(n-k+1+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n}}(\omega) - B_{(n-k+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n}}(\omega) \right| &\stackrel{(c)}{\leq} \left| B_{(n-k+1+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n}}(\omega) - B_s(\omega) \right| + \left| B_s(\omega) - B_{(n-k+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n}}(\omega) \right| \\ &\leq C \left| (n-k+1+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n} - s \right|^\gamma + C \left| s - (n-k+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n} \right|^\gamma \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{\leq} C \left( \frac{T}{n} \right)^\gamma \{k^\gamma + (k-1)^\gamma\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the step (c) makes use of the triangle inequality, and the step (d) follows from the fact (3). Therefore, one has

$$|Y_{n,n-k+1}(\omega)| = \max \left\{ \left| B_{(n-k+1+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n}}(\omega) - B_{(n-k+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n}}(\omega) \right| : j \in [0 : k - 1] \right\} \leq C \left( \frac{T}{n} \right)^\gamma \{k^\gamma + (k-1)^\gamma\}.$$

To sum up, we conclude that  $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_n(C, T)$  as desired.  $\square$

Thanks to Claim 1, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P} \{ \mathcal{A}_n(C, T) \} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \{ \mathcal{B}_n(C, T) \} \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^{n-k+1} \left\{ \max \left\{ \left| B \left( (l+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n} \right) - B \left( (l+j-1) \cdot \frac{T}{n} \right) \right| : j \in [0 : k - 1] \right\} \leq C \left( \frac{T}{n} \right)^\gamma \{k^\gamma + (k-1)^\gamma\} \right\} \right\} \\ &\stackrel{(e)}{\leq} \sum_{l=1}^{n-k+1} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \bigcap_{j=0}^{k-1} \left\{ \left| B \left( (l+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n} \right) - B \left( (l+j-1) \cdot \frac{T}{n} \right) \right| \leq C \left( \frac{T}{n} \right)^\gamma \{k^\gamma + (k-1)^\gamma\} \right\} \right\} \\ &\stackrel{(f)}{=} \sum_{l=1}^{n-k+1} \left[ \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \left| B \left( (l+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n} \right) - B \left( (l+j-1) \cdot \frac{T}{n} \right) \right| \leq C \left( \frac{T}{n} \right)^\gamma \{k^\gamma + (k-1)^\gamma\} \right\} \right] \\ &\stackrel{(g)}{=} \sum_{l=1}^{n-k+1} \left[ \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \left| B \left( \frac{T}{n} \right) \right| \leq C \left( \frac{T}{n} \right)^\gamma \{k^\gamma + (k-1)^\gamma\} \right\} \right] \\ &\stackrel{(h)}{\leq} n \left[ \mathbb{P} \left\{ |Z| \leq C \left( \frac{T}{n} \right)^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} \{k^\gamma + (k-1)^\gamma\} \right\} \right]^k \\ &\leq n \left[ \int_{-C \left( \frac{T}{n} \right)^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} \{k^\gamma + (k-1)^\gamma\}}^{C \left( \frac{T}{n} \right)^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} \{k^\gamma + (k-1)^\gamma\}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} x^2 \right) dx \right]^k \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} n \left[ \frac{2C \{k^\gamma + (k-1)^\gamma\} \left( \frac{T}{n} \right)^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right]^k \\ &= \left[ \frac{2C \{k^\gamma + (k-1)^\gamma\} T^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right]^k \cdot n^{-k(\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{k})}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where  $Z \sim \mathbf{N}(0, 1)$ , and the above steps (e)–(i) can be validated as follows:

(e) the union bound;

(f)  $\{B(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$  has independent increments;

(g)  $B\left((l+j) \cdot \frac{T}{n}\right) - B\left((l+j-1) \cdot \frac{T}{n}\right) \stackrel{d}{=} B\left(\frac{T}{n}\right) \sim \mathbf{N}\left(0, \frac{T}{n}\right)$  for every  $l \in [n-k+1]$  and  $j \in [0 : k-1]$ ;

(h)  $B\left(\frac{T}{n}\right) \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}Z$ ;

(i)  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Since the exponent  $\gamma$  satisfies  $\gamma > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{k}$ , by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the bound (4) we obtain for every  $C, T > 0$ ,

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{\mathcal{A}_n(C, T)\} \stackrel{(j)}{=} \mathbb{P}\left\{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n(C, T)\right\}, \quad (5)$$

where the step (j) follows from the fact that  $\{\mathcal{A}_n(C, T)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a non-decreasing sequence of events with respect to the set inclusion.

Finally, we claim that for  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the sample path  $t \in [0, T] \mapsto B_t(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}$  of the one-dimensional Brownian motion  $\{B(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$  at  $\omega$ , *i.e.*, the Brownian path at  $\omega$  is not  $\gamma$ -Hölder continuous at any point in  $[0, T]$ , where  $\gamma \in \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{k}, +\infty\right)$ . We consider the following event for each  $T > 0$ , given by

$$\mathcal{E}(T) := \{\omega \in \Omega : \text{the Brownian path at } \omega \text{ is } \gamma\text{-Hölder continuous at some point } s \in [0, T]\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Then, one can see for every  $t > 0$  that

$$\mathcal{E}(T) \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \bigcup_{M=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n(M, T) \right].$$

Due to the countable sub-additivity of  $\mathbb{P}\{\cdot\}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{\mathcal{E}(T)\} &\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \bigcup_{M=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n(M, T) \right]\right\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\bigcup_{M=1}^{\infty} \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n(M, T) \right]\right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n(M, T)\right\} \\ &\stackrel{(k)}{=} 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the step (k) is due to the result (5), thereby  $\mathbb{P}\{\Omega \setminus \mathcal{E}(T)\} = 1$ . Also, it's clear that if  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus \mathcal{E}(T)$ , the Brownian path  $t \in [0, T] \mapsto B_t(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}$  is not  $\gamma$ -Hölder continuous at every point in  $[0, T]$  and this completes the proof of our claim. Since this claim holds for any  $T > 0$ , it suffices to put  $T = 1$  in order to achieve the desired result in this problem.

**Problem 4** (*Exercise 7.1.6. in [1]*).

Since  $\{B(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$  has independent increments and it satisfies the following properties:

$$B(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B(s+t) - B(s) \sim \mathbf{N}(0, t) \text{ if } s \geq 0 \text{ and } t > 0,$$

we see that for any fixed  $t \in (0, +\infty)$ ,

$$\left\{ \Delta_{m,n} := B\left(\frac{t}{2^n}m\right) - B\left(\frac{t}{2^n}(m-1)\right) : m \in [2^n] \right\} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathbf{N}\left(0, \frac{t}{2^n}\right). \quad (6)$$

Thus we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} \Delta_{m,n}^2 \right] = \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} \mathbb{E} [\Delta_{m,n}^2] = \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} \text{Var} [\Delta_{m,n}] = t. \quad (7)$$

Therefore, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} \Delta_{m,n}^2 - t \right)^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} \Delta_{m,n}^2 - \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} \Delta_{m,n}^2 \right] \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \text{Var} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} \Delta_{m,n}^2 \right] \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} \text{Var} [\Delta_{m,n}^2] \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} 2^n \cdot \text{Var} [\Delta_{1,n}^2] \\ &= 2^n \left\{ \mathbb{E} [\Delta_{1,n}^4] - (\mathbb{E} [\Delta_{1,n}^2])^2 \right\} \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{=} 2^n \left\{ \mathbb{E} [\Delta_{1,n}^4] - \left( \frac{t}{2^n} \right)^2 \right\} \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{=} 2^n \left\{ \left( \sqrt{\frac{t}{2^n}} \right)^4 \mathbb{E} [Z^4] - \left( \frac{t}{2^n} \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= \frac{t^2}{2^n} (\mathbb{E} [Z^4] - 1) \\ &\stackrel{(e)}{=} \frac{t^2}{2^{n-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $Z \sim \mathbf{N}(0, 1)$ , and the above steps (a)–(e) can be verified as follows:

- (a) the independence of  $\{\Delta_{m,n} : m \in [2^n]\}$ ;
- (b)  $\{\Delta_{m,n} : m \in [2^n]\}$  is identically distributed (see (7) for further details);
- (c)  $\Delta_{1,n} \sim \mathbf{N}\left(0, \frac{t}{2^n}\right)$ ;
- (d) the same reason as the step (c);
- (e) we can see via a simple integration by parts that  $\mathbb{E} [Z^4] = 3$ .

Hereafter, we let  $X_n := \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} \Delta_{m,n}^2$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From the computations (7) and (8), we know that

$$\mathbb{E} [X_n] = t \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var} [X_n] = \frac{t^2}{2^{n-1}}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}_n(\epsilon) := \{\omega \in \Omega : |X_n(\omega) - t| > \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{F}$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then we obtain for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \{\mathcal{D}_n(\epsilon)\} \stackrel{(f)}{\leq} \epsilon^{-2} \cdot \mathbb{E} [|X_n(\omega) - t|^2] = \epsilon^{-2} \cdot \text{Var} [X_n] = \left( \frac{t}{\epsilon} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n-1}},$$

where the step (f) follows from the Chebyshev's inequality. Thus, it leads to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P} \{\mathcal{D}_n(\epsilon)\} \leq \left( \frac{t}{\epsilon} \right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} = 2 \left( \frac{t}{\epsilon} \right)^2 < +\infty,$$

thereby the first Borel-Cantelli lemma implies

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}_n(\epsilon) \right\} = 0, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0. \quad (9)$$

Now, we define

$$\mathcal{E} := \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \Omega \setminus \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}_n \left( \frac{1}{k} \right) \right) \right\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Since

$$\mathbb{P} \{ \Omega \setminus \mathcal{E} \} = \mathbb{P} \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}_n \left( \frac{1}{k} \right) \right\} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}_n \left( \frac{1}{k} \right) \right\} = 0,$$

we find that  $\mathbb{P} \{ \mathcal{E} \} = 1$ . Moreover, if  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ , we see that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|X_n(\omega) - t| \leq \frac{1}{k}$$

for all but finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus we arrive at

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n(\omega) - t| \leq \frac{1}{k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (10)$$

thereby letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in the right-hand side of (10) yields  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n(\omega) - t| = 0$ . Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} \Delta_{m,n}^2(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n(\omega) = t$$

for all  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ , and this shows that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{2^n} \Delta_{m,n}^2 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} t$$

$\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely, as desired.



## References

- [1] Rick Durrett. *Probability: theory and examples*, volume 49. Cambridge university press, 2019.