

# MAS651 Theory of Stochastic Processes

## Homework #2

20150597 Jeonghwan Lee

Department of Mathematical Sciences, KAIST

March 17, 2021

Throughout this homework, let  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  denote the set of all non-negative integers, and  $[a : b] := \{a, a + 1, \dots, b - 1, b\}$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a \leq b$ . We also write  $[n] := [1 : n]$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover,  $\uplus$  denotes the *disjoint union*, and given a set  $A$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\binom{A}{k} := \{B \subseteq A : |B| = k\}$ . Also, we use the symbol  $\mathbb{S}$  instead of  $S$  to denote the underlying state space of stochastic processes.

**Problem 1** (*Exercise 5.2.1. in [1]*).

To begin with, we introduce some notations:  $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(\{X_k : k \in [0 : n]\})$  and  $\mathcal{G}_n := \sigma(\{X_k : k \geq n\})$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Given a fixed time step  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , and two events  $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$  and  $B \in \mathcal{G}_n$ , we have  $\mathbb{1}_{A \cap B} = \mathbb{1}_A \mathbb{1}_B$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\mu \{A \cap B | X_n\} &= \mathbb{E}_\mu [\mathbb{1}_{A \cap B} | \sigma(X_n)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\mu [\mathbb{1}_A \mathbb{1}_B | \sigma(X_n)] \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathbb{E}_\mu [\mathbb{E}_\mu [\mathbb{1}_A \mathbb{1}_B | \mathcal{F}_n] | \sigma(X_n)] \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \mathbb{E}_\mu [\mathbb{1}_A \cdot \mathbb{E}_\mu [\mathbb{1}_B | \mathcal{F}_n] | \sigma(X_n)] \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -almost surely, where the step (a) follows from *Theorem 4.1.13* in [1], and the step (b) holds by *Theorem 4.1.14* in [1] together with the fact  $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$ .

**Claim 1.** For any  $B \in \mathcal{G}_n$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_\mu [\mathbb{1}_B | \mathcal{F}_n]$  is  $\sigma(X_n)$ -measurable.

*Proof of Claim 1.*

Let  $\mathcal{P}_k := \{\{\omega \in \Omega_0 : \omega_n \in A_0, \omega_{n+1} \in A_1, \dots, \omega_{n+k} \in A_k\} : A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{S}\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , where  $(\mathbb{S}, \mathcal{S})$  is the underlying nice state space and  $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{F}_\infty)$  denotes the sequence space constructed from  $(\mathbb{S}, \mathcal{S})$ . Here, we recall that a measurable space  $(\mathbb{S}, \mathcal{S})$  is *nice* (or said to be a *standard Borel space*) if there is a bijection  $\varphi : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that both  $\varphi : (\mathbb{S}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$  and  $\varphi^{-1} : (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}, \mathcal{S})$  are measurable, where  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the Borel  $\sigma$ -field on  $\mathbb{R}$  (See *Section 2.1* of [1] for further details). Set  $\mathcal{P} := \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty \mathcal{P}_k$ . Choose any two elements  $C, D \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then,  $C \in \mathcal{P}_k$  and  $D \in \mathcal{P}_l$  for some  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . We may write  $C$  and  $D$  by

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \{\omega \in \Omega_0 : \omega_n \in A_0, \omega_{n+1} \in A_1, \dots, \omega_{n+k} \in A_k\}; \\ D &= \{\omega \in \Omega_0 : \omega_n \in B_0, \omega_{n+1} \in B_1, \dots, \omega_{n+l} \in B_l\}, \end{aligned}$$

for some  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k, B_0, B_1, \dots, B_l \in \mathcal{S}$ . Assuming  $k \leq l$ , we have

$$C \cap D = \{\omega \in \Omega_0 : \omega_n \in A_0 \cap B_0, \dots, \omega_{n+k} \in A_k \cap B_k, \omega_{n+k+1} \in B_{k+1}, \dots, \omega_{n+l} \in B_l\} \in \mathcal{P}_l,$$

thereby  $C \cap D \in \mathcal{P}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\pi$ -system on  $\Omega_0$  with  $\mathcal{G}_n = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ .

Now, choose any  $E \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then, it can be written as

$$E = \{\omega \in \Omega_0 : \omega_n \in A_0, \omega_{n+1} \in A_1, \dots, \omega_{n+k} \in A_k\}$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{S}$ . Define a function  $Y : \Omega_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$Y(\omega) := \prod_{j=0}^k \mathbb{1}_{A_j}(\omega_j), \quad \omega \in \Omega_0.$$

It's clear that  $Y$  is a bounded measurable function from the sequence space  $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{F}_\infty)$  to  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ . Moreover, one can see that

$$\mathbb{1}_E(\omega) = \prod_{j=0}^k \mathbb{1}_{A_j}(\omega_{n+j}) = \prod_{j=0}^k \mathbb{1}_{A_j}([\theta_n(\omega)]_j) = (Y \circ \theta_n)(\omega) \quad (2)$$

for all  $\omega \in \Omega_0$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu[\mathbb{1}_E | \mathcal{F}_n] \stackrel{(c)}{=} \mathbb{E}_\mu[Y \circ \theta_n | \mathcal{F}_n] \stackrel{(d)}{=} \mathbb{E}_{X_n}[Y] \quad (3)$$

$\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -almost surely, where the step (c) is due to (2), and the step (d) follows from the Markov property. Since the last term of (3) is  $\sigma(X_n)$ -measurable, we conclude that  $\mathbb{E}_\mu[\mathbb{1}_E | \mathcal{F}_n]$  is  $\sigma(X_n)$ -measurable for all  $E \in \mathcal{P}$ .

As the final step, we show that  $\mathbb{E}_\mu[\mathbb{1}_B | \mathcal{F}_n]$  is  $\sigma(X_n)$ -measurable for all  $B \in \mathcal{G}_n$ . Let

$$\mathcal{L} := \{B \in \mathcal{G}_n : \mathbb{E}_\mu[\mathbb{1}_B | \mathcal{F}_n] \text{ is } \sigma(X_n)\text{-measurable}\}.$$

We have already seen that  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$  in the previous paragraph. Now, we claim that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system on  $\Omega_0$ .

1. It's clear that  $\emptyset$  and  $\Omega_0$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}$ ;

2. Assume that  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu[\mathbb{1}_{B \setminus A} | \mathcal{F}_n] \stackrel{\mathbb{P}_\mu\text{-a.s.}}{=} \mathbb{E}_\mu[\mathbb{1}_B | \mathcal{F}_n] - \mathbb{E}_\mu[\mathbb{1}_A | \mathcal{F}_n]$$

is also  $\sigma(X_n)$ -measurable, thereby  $B \setminus A \in \mathcal{L}$ ;

3. Let  $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $A_k \uparrow A$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . From  $\mathbb{1}_{A_k} \uparrow \mathbb{1}_A$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain from the monotone convergence theorem for conditional expectations (*Theorem 4.1.9-(c)* in [1]) that

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu[\mathbb{1}_{A_k} | \mathcal{F}_n] \uparrow \mathbb{E}_\mu[\mathbb{1}_A | \mathcal{F}_n]$$

$\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -almost surely, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Since each  $\mathbb{E}_\mu[\mathbb{1}_{A_k} | \mathcal{F}_n]$  is  $\sigma(X_n)$ -measurable,  $\mathbb{E}_\mu[\mathbb{1}_A | \mathcal{F}_n]$  is also  $\sigma(X_n)$ -measurable.

From the above observations,  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system on  $\Omega_0$ . Due to the  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  theorem (*Theorem 2.1.6* in [1]), we get  $\mathcal{L} = \sigma(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{G}_n$ . This completes the proof of Claim 1. □

According to Claim 1, we know that  $\mathbb{E}_\mu [\mathbb{1}_B | \mathcal{F}_n]$  is  $\sigma(X_n)$ -measurable. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu [\mathbb{1}_B | \mathcal{F}_n] \stackrel{\mathbb{P}_\mu\text{-a.s.}}{=} \mathbb{E}_\mu [\mathbb{1}_B | \sigma(X_n)] \quad (4)$$

by *Theorem 4.1.12* in [1]. Plugging (4) into (1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\mu \{A \cap B | X_n\} &= \mathbb{E}_\mu [\mathbb{1}_A \cdot \mathbb{E}_\mu [\mathbb{1}_B | \mathcal{F}_n] | \sigma(X_n)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\mu [\mathbb{1}_A \cdot \mathbb{E}_\mu [\mathbb{1}_B | \sigma(X_n)] | \sigma(X_n)] \\ &\stackrel{(e)}{=} \mathbb{E}_\mu [\mathbb{1}_A | \sigma(X_n)] \mathbb{E}_\mu [\mathbb{1}_B | \sigma(X_n)] \\ &= \mathbb{P}_\mu \{A | X_n\} \mathbb{P}_\mu \{B | X_n\} \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -almost surely, where the step (e) is due to *Theorem 4.1.14* in [1], and we are done.

Hereafter, we assume throughout the rest of homework problems that the underlying state space  $\mathbb{S}$  is countable and it is equipped with the discrete  $\sigma$ -field  $2^{\mathbb{S}}$ . Since  $(\mathbb{S}, 2^{\mathbb{S}})$  is a *nice measurable space*, it admits the canonical construction in *Section 5.2* in [1] of the probability measure  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$  on the sequence space  $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{F}_\infty)$  so that the sequence of coordinate maps  $\{X_n(\omega) := \omega_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a homogeneous Markov chain with initial distribution  $\mu$  and transition probability  $p(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{S} \times 2^{\mathbb{S}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . We remark that it is conventional to write  $p(x, y) := p(x, \{y\})$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{S}$ .

**Problem 2** (*Exercise 5.2.4.* in [1]: First entrance decomposition).

We begin the proof by defining the functions  $Y_m : \Omega_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , by

$$Y_m(\omega) := \mathbb{1}_{\{X_{n-m}=y\}}(\omega) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\omega_{n-m}=y\}}, \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega_0,$$

if  $0 \leq m \leq n$ , and  $Y_m(\omega) := 0$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega_0$  otherwise. It's clear that all  $Y_m$  are bounded and measurable functions on the sequence space  $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{F}_\infty)$ . Also, according to the definition of the *hitting time*  $T_y$ , we have

$$\{T_y = n\} = \{X_1 \in \mathbb{S} \setminus \{y\}, \dots, X_{n-1} \in \mathbb{S} \setminus \{y\}, X_n = y\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , where  $\{\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(\{X_k : k \in [0 : n]\})\}_{n=0}^\infty$  denotes the canonical filtration of  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . Thus,  $T_y$  is a stopping time with respect to the canonical filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . Moreover, one can see that if  $T_y(\omega) < +\infty$ , then

$$(Y_{T_y} \circ \theta_{T_y})(\omega) = \mathbb{1}_{\{X_n=y\}}(\omega), \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega_0. \quad (5)$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
p^n(x, y) &= \mathbb{P}_x \{X_n = y\} = \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{1}_{\{X_n=y\}}] \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{1}_{\{X_n=y\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{T_y < +\infty\}}] \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [(Y_{T_y} \circ \theta_{T_y}) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{T_y < +\infty\}}] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_x [(Y_{T_y} \circ \theta_{T_y}) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{T_y < +\infty\}} | \mathcal{F}_{T_y}]] \\
&\stackrel{(c)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_x [(Y_{T_y} \circ \theta_{T_y}) \cdot | \mathcal{F}_{T_y}] \mathbb{1}_{\{T_y < +\infty\}}] \\
&\stackrel{(d)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_{X_{T_y}} [Y_{T_y}] \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{T_y < +\infty\}}] \\
&\stackrel{(e)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_y [Y_{T_y}] \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{T_y < +\infty\}}] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_y [Y_{T_y}] \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{T_y=m\}} \right] \\
&\stackrel{(f)}{=} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_y [Y_{T_y}] \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{T_y=m\}}] \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_y [Y_m] \cdot \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{1}_{\{T_y=m\}}] \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_x \{T_y = m\} \underbrace{\mathbb{P}_y \{X_{n-m} = y\}}_{= p^{n-m}(y,y)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the above steps (a)–(f) can be justified as follows:

- (a)  $\{X_n = y\} \subseteq \{T_y < +\infty\}$ ;
- (b) the equality (5);
- (c)  $\{T_y < +\infty\} \in \mathcal{F}_{T_y}$ . To see this, we notice that

$$\{T_y < +\infty\} \cap \{T_y = n\} = \{T_y = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

since  $T_y$  is a stopping time with respect to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ;

- (d) the strong Markov property (*Theorem 5.2.5* in [1]);
- (e) On the event  $\{T_y < +\infty\}$ , we have  $X_{T_y} = y$ ;
- (f) the Fubini-Tonelli's theorem, since the summands are non-negative.

This establishes the desired result.

**Problem 3** (*Exercise 5.2.6* in [1]).

Since  $\mathbb{S} \setminus C$  is finite,  $\inf \{\mathbb{P}_x \{T_C < +\infty\} : x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C\} > 0$ . Take  $\epsilon := \frac{1}{2} \inf \{\mathbb{P}_x \{T_C < +\infty\} : x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C\} \in (0, 1)$ . As  $\mathbb{P}_x \{T_C < +\infty\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \uparrow \mathbb{P}_x \{T_C \leq n\} > \epsilon$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C$ , there exists a positive integer  $N(x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbb{P}_x \{T_C \leq n\} \geq \epsilon$  for every  $n \geq N(x)$ . Let  $N := \max \{N(x) : x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C\} \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_x \{T_C > N\} = 1 - \mathbb{P}_x \{T_C \leq N\} \leq 1 - \epsilon \tag{6}$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C$ , since  $N \geq N(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C$ .

Now, define  $Y : \Omega_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$Y(\omega) := \mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > N\}}(\omega) = \mathbb{1}_{\bigcap_{i=1}^N \{X_i \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C\}}(\omega), \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega_0.$$

Then,  $Y$  is clearly a bounded, measurable function from the sequence space  $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{F}_\infty)$  to  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ . Moreover, we can see that for every  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$(Y \circ \theta_{(k-1)N})(\omega) = \mathbb{1}_{\bigcap_{i=(k-1)N+1}^{kN} \{X_i \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C\}}(\omega), \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega_0,$$

thereby we get

$$\begin{aligned} (Y \circ \theta_{(k-1)N})(\omega) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > (k-1)N\}}(\omega) &= \mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > (k-1)N, X_{(k-1)N+1} \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C, X_{(k-1)N+2} \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C, \dots, X_{kN} \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C\}}(\omega) \\ &= \mathbb{1}_{\bigcap_{i=1}^{kN} \{X_i \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C\}}(\omega) \\ &= \mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > kN\}}(\omega) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

for all  $\omega \in \Omega_0$ . Therefore, we obtain for every  $y \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C$  and  $k \geq 2$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_y \{T_C > kN\} &= \mathbb{E}_y [\mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > kN\}}] \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathbb{E}_y [(Y \circ \theta_{(k-1)N}) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > (k-1)N\}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_y [\mathbb{E}_y [(Y \circ \theta_{(k-1)N}) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > (k-1)N\}} | \mathcal{F}_{(k-1)N}]] \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \mathbb{E}_y [\mathbb{E}_y [Y \circ \theta_{(k-1)N} | \mathcal{F}_{(k-1)N}] \mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > (k-1)N\}}] \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{=} \mathbb{E}_y [\mathbb{E}_{X_{(k-1)N}} [Y] \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > (k-1)N\}}] \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{=} \mathbb{E}_y [\mathbb{E}_{X_{(k-1)N}} [Y] \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > (k-1)N, X_{(k-1)N} \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C\}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \mathbb{E}_{X_{(k-1)N}} [Y] \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C} \mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > (k-1)N, X_{(k-1)N} = x\}} \right) \right] \\ &\stackrel{(e)}{=} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C} \mathbb{E}_y [\mathbb{E}_{X_{(k-1)N}} [Y] \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > (k-1)N, X_{(k-1)N} = x\}}] \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C} \mathbb{E}_y [\mathbb{E}_x [Y] \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > (k-1)N, X_{(k-1)N} = x\}}] \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C} \mathbb{E}_y [\mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > (k-1)N, X_{(k-1)N} = x\}}] \cdot \mathbb{P}_x \{T_C > N\} \\ &\stackrel{(f)}{\leq} (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C} \mathbb{E}_y [\mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > (k-1)N, X_{(k-1)N} = x\}}] \\ &\stackrel{(g)}{=} (1 - \epsilon) \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \sum_{x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C} \mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > (k-1)N, X_{(k-1)N} = x\}} \right] \\ &= (1 - \epsilon) \mathbb{E}_y [\mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > (k-1)N, X_{(k-1)N} \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C\}}] \\ &\stackrel{(h)}{=} (1 - \epsilon) \mathbb{E}_y [\mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > (k-1)N\}}] \\ &= (1 - \epsilon) \mathbb{P}_y \{T_C > (k-1)N\}, \end{aligned}$$

Here, the above steps (a)–(h) can be justified as follows:

- (a) the equality (7);
- (b)  $\{T_C > (k-1)N\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{(k-1)N} \{X_i \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C\} \in \mathcal{F}_{(k-1)N}$ ;
- (c) the Markov property (*Theorem 5.2.3* in [1]);
- (d)  $\{T_C > (k-1)N\} \subseteq \{X_{(k-1)N} \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C\}$ ;
- (e) we can change the order between expectation and summation since  $\mathbb{S} \setminus C$  is finite;
- (f) the bound (6);
- (g) we can change the order between expectation and summation since  $\mathbb{S} \setminus C$  is finite;
- (h)  $\{T_C > (k-1)N\} \subseteq \{X_{(k-1)N} \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C\}$ .

We remark that  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  denotes the canonical filtration of the given Markov chain  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Hence, we can deduce inductively that

$$\mathbb{P}_y \{T_C > kN\} \leq (1 - \epsilon)^{k-1} \cdot \mathbb{P}_y \{T_C > N\} \stackrel{(i)}{\leq} (1 - \epsilon)^k$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C$ , where the step (i) is simply the bound (6). This completes the proof of the desired result.

**Problem 4** (*Exercise 5.2.7.* in [1]: Exit distributions).

(i) To begin with, we can see for every  $C \subseteq \mathbb{S}$  that the *first visiting time to C*,  $V_C$ , is a stopping time with respect to the canonical filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . To see this, we notice that

$$\{V_C = n\} = \{X_0 \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C, \dots, X_{n-1} \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C, X_n \in C\} \in \mathcal{F}_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Now, we define a function  $Y : \Omega_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$Y(\omega) := \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A < V_B\}}(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \inf \{n \geq 0 : X_n \in A\} < \inf \{n \geq 0 : X_n \in B\}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since both  $V_A$  and  $V_B$  are stopping times with respect to  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  defined on the sequence space  $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{F}_\infty)$ ,  $\{V_A < V_B\} \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$ . Thus,  $Y : (\Omega_0, \mathcal{F}_\infty) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$  is a bounded, measurable function, where  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the Borel  $\sigma$ -field on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, we may observe that if  $X_0 \in \mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (Y \circ \theta_1)(\omega) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \inf \{n \geq 1 : X_n \in A\} < \inf \{n \geq 1 : X_n \in B\}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \inf \{n \geq 0 : X_n \in A\} < \inf \{n \geq 0 : X_n \in B\}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ &= Y(\omega) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for all  $\omega \in \Omega_0$ . Hence, the following holds: for every  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
h(x) &= \mathbb{P}_x \{V_A < V_B\} = \mathbb{E}_x [Y] \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [Y \circ \theta_1] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_x [Y \circ \theta_1 | \mathcal{F}_1]] \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_{X_1} [Y]] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{E}_{X_1} [Y] \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{X_1=y\}} \right] \\
&\stackrel{(c)}{=} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_{X_1} [Y] \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{X_1=y\}}] \\
&= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_y [Y] \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{X_1=y\}}] \\
&= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} \underbrace{\mathbb{P}_x \{X_1 = y\}}_{= p(x,y)} \cdot \underbrace{\mathbb{P}_y \{V_A < V_B\}}_{= h(y)} \\
&= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} p(x, y) h(y),
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the above steps (a)–(c) can be verified as follows:

- (a) it follows from (8) together with the assumption  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)$ ;
  - (b) the Markov property (*Theorem 5.2.3* in [1]);
  - (c) the Fubini-Tonelli's theorem, since the summands are non-negative.
- (ii) Let  $\mu$  denote the initial distribution of the Markov chain  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ ,  $h : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any bounded function satisfying the given condition (\*), and  $M_n := h(X_{n \wedge V_{A \cup B}})$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then, one can see that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_n &= h(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} + h(X_{V_{A \cup B}}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} < n\}} \\
&= h(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h(X_{V_{A \cup B}}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B}=k\}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

It's clear that  $M_n \in L^1(\Omega_0, \mathcal{F}_\infty, \mathbb{P}_\mu)$ , i.e.,  $M_n$  is  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -integrable as  $h$  is bounded. From (9), we reach

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_\mu [M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_{V_{A \cup B}}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B}=k\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_k) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B}=k\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\
&\stackrel{(d)}{=} \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h(X_k) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B}=k\}},
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -almost surely, where the step (a) follows from the fact  $h(X_k) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B}=k\}} \in \mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$  for every  $k \in [0 : n-1]$ , which holds since  $V_{A \cup B}$  is a stopping time with respect to the canonical filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . At this point, we claim the following statement.

Claim 2.  $\mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \stackrel{\mathbb{P}_\mu\text{-a.s.}}{=} h(X_{n-1}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}}.$

*Proof of Claim 2.*

To begin with, we note from  $\{V_{A \cup B} \geq k\} = \{X_0 \in \mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B), X_1 \in \mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B), \dots, X_{k-1} \in \mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\}$  that

$$\mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq k\}}(\omega) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)}(\omega_j) \quad (11)$$

for all  $\omega \in \Omega_0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_k := \{\{\omega \in \Omega_0 : \omega_0 \in A_0, \omega_1 \in A_1, \dots, \omega_k \in A_k\} : A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{S} = 2^{\mathbb{S}}\}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then,  $\mathcal{P}_k$  is a  $\pi$ -system on  $\Omega_0$  with  $\mathcal{F}_k = \sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_k) = \sigma(\mathcal{P}_k)$ . Firstly, we claim that

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_E] = \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_{n-1}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_E] \quad (12)$$

for all  $E \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ . Given any  $E \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ , it can be written by

$$E = \{X_0 \in A_0, X_1 \in A_1, \dots, X_{n-1} \in A_{n-1}\}$$

for some  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1} \in \mathcal{S} = 2^{\mathbb{S}}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_E] &\stackrel{(e)}{=} \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[ \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (\mathbb{1}_{\{\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\} \cap A_k}(X_k)) h(X_n) \right] \\ &\stackrel{(f)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \mu(dx_0) \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\} \cap A_0}(x_0) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{S}} p(x_0, dx_1) \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\} \cap A_1}(x_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[ \dots \left[ \int_{\mathbb{S}} p(x_{n-1}, dx_n) h(x_n) \right] \dots \right] \right] \\ &= \sum_{x_0 \in \{\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\} \cap A_0} \mu(x_0) \left[ \sum_{x_1 \in \{\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\} \cap A_1} p(x_0, x_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[ \dots \left[ \sum_{x_{n-1} \in \{\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\} \cap A_{n-1}} p(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \underbrace{\left[ \sum_{x_n \in \mathbb{S}} p(x_{n-1}, x_n) h(x_n) \right]}_{= h(x_{n-1})} \right] \dots \right] \right] \\ &\stackrel{(g)}{=} \sum_{x_0 \in \{\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\} \cap A_0} \mu(x_0) \left[ \sum_{x_1 \in \{\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\} \cap A_1} p(x_0, x_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[ \dots \left[ \sum_{x_{n-1} \in \{\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\} \cap A_{n-1}} p(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) h(x_{n-1}) \right] \dots \right] \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}} \mu(dx_0) \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\} \cap A_0}(x_0) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{S}} p(x_0, dx_1) \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\} \cap A_1}(x_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[ \dots \left[ \int_{\mathbb{S}} p(x_{n-2}, dx_{n-1}) h(x_{n-1}) \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\} \cap A_{n-1}}(x_{n-1}) \right] \dots \right] \right] \\ &\stackrel{(h)}{=} \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[ \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} (\mathbb{1}_{\{\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\} \cap A_k}(X_k)) (\mathbb{1}_{\{\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\} \cap A_{n-1}}(X_{n-1}) h(X_{n-1})) \right] \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{=} \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_{n-1}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_E]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Each of the steps (e)–(i) can be justified as follows:



(e) the equality (11);

(f) the equation (5.2.3) in [1];

(g) from the assumption, we have for every  $x_{n-1} \in \{\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\} \cap A_{n-1}$ ,

$$p(x_{n-1}) = \sum_{x_n \in \mathbb{S}} p(x_{n-1}, x_n) h(x_n).$$

(h) the equation (5.2.3) in [1];

(i) the equality (11).

Finally, we set  $\mathcal{L}_k := \{E \in \mathcal{F}_k : \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_{k+1}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq k+1\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_E] = \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_k) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq k+1\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_E]\}$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then, the equation (13) yields  $\mathcal{P}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{n-1}$ . Now, we claim that  $\mathcal{L}_{n-1}$  is a  $\pi$ -system on  $\Omega_0$ .

1. Since  $\mathcal{P}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{n-1}$ , both  $\emptyset$  and  $\Omega_0$  belong to  $\mathcal{L}_{n-1}$ ;

2. If  $E, F \in \mathcal{L}_{n-1}$  with  $E \subseteq F$ , then we obtain from the linearity of expectations that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{F \setminus E}] &= \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_F] - \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_E] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_{n-1}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_F] - \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_{n-1}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_E] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_{n-1}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{F \setminus E}], \end{aligned}$$

thereby  $F \setminus E \in \mathcal{L}_{n-1}$ .

3. Let  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{L}_{n-1}$  with  $E_k \uparrow E$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then,  $\mathbb{1}_{E_k} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{1}_E$ , and so the bounded convergence theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_E] &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{E_k}] \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_{n-1}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{E_k}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_{n-1}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_E], \end{aligned}$$

thereby  $E \in \mathcal{L}_{n-1}$ .

Hence,  $\mathcal{L}_{n-1}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system on  $\Omega_0$  with  $\mathcal{P}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{n-1}$  and so we get  $\mathcal{L}_{n-1} = \sigma(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}) = \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$  by the  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  theorem (*Theorem 2.1.6* in [1]). Since  $h(X_{n-1}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} = h(X_{n-1}) (1 - \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \leq n-1\}})$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ -measurable, it establishes the desired claim. □

Putting Claim 2 into the equation (10) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\mu [M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= \mathbb{E}_\mu [h(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h(X_k) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} = k\}} \\ &= h(X_{n-1}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n\}} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h(X_k) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} = k\}} \\ &= h(X_{n-1}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \geq n-1\}} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} h(X_k) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} = k\}} \\ &= h(X_{(n-1) \wedge V_{A \cup B}}) = M_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -almost surely. Hence, the stochastic process  $\{M_n = h(X_{n \wedge V_{A \cup B}})\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a martingale with respect to the canonical filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  for any bounded function  $h : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the condition (\*).

(iii) Let  $g : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any other function satisfying the condition (\*), and  $g(x) = 1$  if  $x \in A$ ;  $g(x) = 0$  if  $x \in B$ . Since

$$\sup \{|g(x)| : x \in \mathbb{S}\} \leq \max \{1, \sup \{|g(x)| : x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)\}\} \stackrel{(j)}{<} +\infty,$$

where the step (j) holds since  $\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)$  is finite,  $g$  is a bounded function and so is  $h$  by the same argument. If we let  $f := g - h$ , then  $f : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded function satisfying the condition (\*) together with  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \in A \cup B$ . As we have shown that the second statement (ii) of this problem is valid for any bounded function from  $\mathbb{S}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  which satisfies the condition (\*),  $\{f(X_{n \wedge V_{A \cup B}})\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a martingale with respect to the canonical filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . Thus for any  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \mathbb{E}_x [f(X_{0 \wedge V_{A \cup B}})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x [f(X_{n \wedge V_{A \cup B}})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x [f(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} > n\}}] + \mathbb{E}_x [f(X_{V_{A \cup B}}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} \leq n\}}] \\ &\stackrel{(k)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [f(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} > n\}}], \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where the step (h) follows from the fact that if  $V_{A \cup B} < +\infty$ , then  $X_{V_{A \cup B}} \in A \cup B$  and so  $f(X_{V_{A \cup B}}) = 0$ . Since  $f$  is bounded,  $L := \sup \{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{S}\} < +\infty$ . Then, one has from (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &= |\mathbb{E}_x [f(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} > n\}}]| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_x [ |f(X_n)| \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{A \cup B} > n\}} ] \\ &\leq L \cdot \mathbb{P}_x \{V_{A \cup B} > n\} \\ &\stackrel{(l)}{=} L \cdot \mathbb{P}_x \{T_{A \cup B} > n\} \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , where the step (l) comes from  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)$ . As  $\mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)$  is finite and  $\mathbb{P}_y \{T_{A \cup B} < +\infty\} > 0$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)$ , we can apply Problem 3 (*Exercise 5.2.6* in [1]) at this point: there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\mathbb{P}_y \{T_{A \cup B} > kN\} \leq (1 - \epsilon)^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)$ . Putting  $n = kN$  into the bound (15) yields for every  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)$  that

$$|f(x)| \leq L(1 - \epsilon)^k \tag{16}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (16), we can conclude that  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus (A \cup B)$ . Consequently, we have  $f(x) = g(x) - h(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{S}$ , thereby  $g \equiv h$  on  $\mathbb{S}$ . This completes the proof of the third statement.

**Problem 5** (*Exercise 5.2.8* in [1]).

Let  $\mathbb{S} := [0 : N] = \{0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$ . Then, one can see that

- $\{0\} \cap \{N\} = \emptyset$ ;
- $\mathbb{S} \setminus \{0, N\} = \{1, 2, \dots, N - 1\}$  is finite;
- Since  $V_0 \wedge V_N = V_{\{0\} \cup \{N\}}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_x \{V_{\{0\} \cup \{N\}} < +\infty\} = \mathbb{P}_x \{V_0 \wedge V_N < +\infty\} > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus \{0, N\}$ .

According to the above observations and the third problem (3) of Problem 4 (*Exercise 5.2.7* in [1]), we know that the function  $h : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $h(x) := \mathbb{P}_x \{V_N < V_0\}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{S}$ , is the unique function such that  $h(0) = 0$ ,  $h(N) = 1$ , and

$$h(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} p(x, y)h(y), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus \{0, N\}, \quad (17)$$

where  $p(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  denotes the transition probability of given homogeneous Markov chain.

Now, let  $g : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to be  $g(x) := \frac{x}{N}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{S}$ . It's clear that  $g(0) = 0$  and  $g(N) = 1$ . We claim that the function  $g : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the equation (17). Since  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a martingale with respect to the canonical filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n-1} &= \mathbb{E}_\mu [X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[ X_n \left( \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_n=y\}} \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \right] \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{E}_\mu [X_n \mathbb{1}_{\{X_n=y\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{E}_\mu [y \mathbb{1}_{\{X_n=y\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} y \cdot \mathbb{P}_\mu \{X_n = y | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\} \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} y \cdot p(X_{n-1}, y), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -almost surely, where  $\mu$  is any initial distribution of the Markov chain  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ , and the step (a) is valid since  $\mathbb{S} = [0 : N]$  is finite, the step (b) follows from the assumption that  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a homogeneous Markov chain with transition probability  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Thus, it follows from (18) that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \int_{\{X_{n-1}=x\}} X_{n-1} d\mathbb{P}_\mu \\ &= \int_{\{X_{n-1}=x\}} \left[ \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} y \cdot p(X_{n-1}, y) \right] d\mathbb{P}_\mu \\ &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} y \int_{\{X_{n-1}=x\}} p(X_{n-1}, y) d\mathbb{P}_\mu \\ &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} y \cdot p(x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{S}$ , since  $\{X_{n-1} = x\} \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ . Dividing the equation (19) by  $N$  yields

$$g(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} p(x, y)g(y), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S},$$

thereby the function  $g : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the equation (17). From the uniqueness of such a function  $h$ , one can deduce  $g \equiv h$  on  $\mathbb{S}$ . Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}_x \{V_N < V_0\} = h(x) = g(x) = \frac{x}{N}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{S} = [0 : N]$ .

**Problem 6** (*Exercise 5.2.11.* in [1]: Exit times).

(i) Fix any  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A$ , and consider the following two cases:

(Case #1)  $\mathbb{P}_x \{V_A = +\infty\} > 0$ : Define  $Z : \Omega_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $Z(\omega) := \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A = +\infty\}}(\omega)$  for  $\omega \in \Omega_0$ . Then for every  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_x \{V_A = +\infty\} &= \mathbb{E}_x [Z] \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [Z \circ \theta_1] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_x [Z \circ \theta_1 | \mathcal{F}_1]] \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_{X_1} [Z]] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \mathbb{E}_{X_1} [Z] \left( \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_1 = y\}} \right) \right] \\
&\stackrel{(c)}{=} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_{X_1} [Z] \mathbb{1}_{\{X_1 = y\}}] \\
&= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_y [Z] \mathbb{1}_{\{X_1 = y\}}] \\
&= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} \underbrace{\mathbb{P}_x \{X_1 = y\}}_{= p(x,y)} \mathbb{E}_y [Z] \\
&= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} p(x, y) \cdot \mathbb{P}_y \{V_A = +\infty\} > 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Here, the above steps (a)–(c) can be justified as follows:

- (a) Since  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A$ ,  $Z = Z \circ \theta_1$  if  $X_0 = x$ ;
- (b) Since  $Z$  is a bounded, measurable function defined on the sequence space  $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{F}_\infty)$ , we can apply the Markov property (*Theorem 5.2.3* in [1]) and the step (b) follows;
- (c) the Fubini-Tonelli's theorem, since the summands are non-negative.

The inequality (20) implies  $p(x, y) \cdot \mathbb{P}_y \{V_A = +\infty\} > 0$  for some  $y \in \mathbb{S}$ . As a consequence, we have

$$p(x, y)g(y) = p(x, y) \cdot \mathbb{E}_y [V_A] \geq p(x, y) \cdot \mathbb{E}_y [V_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A = +\infty\}}] \stackrel{(d)}{=} +\infty,$$

where the step (d) holds since  $p(x, y) > 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}_y \{V_A = +\infty\} > 0$ . Hence, we arrive at

$$1 + \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} p(x, y)g(y) = +\infty \stackrel{(e)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [V_A] = g(x),$$

where the step (e) follows from the assumption  $\mathbb{P}_x \{V_A = +\infty\} > 0$ .

(Case #2)  $\mathbb{P}_x \{V_A = +\infty\} = 0$ : Then, we have from the monotone convergence theorem that

$$g(x) = \mathbb{E}_x [V_A] \stackrel{(f)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [V_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A < +\infty\}}] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \uparrow \mathbb{E}_x [V_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \leq n\}}], \tag{21}$$

where the step (f) is due to the assumption  $\mathbb{P}_x \{V_A = +\infty\} = 0$ . Define  $Y_n : \Omega_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  by

$$Y_n(\omega) := V_A(\omega) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \leq n\}}(\omega), \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega_0.$$

As  $|Y_n| = |V_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \leq n\}}| \leq n \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \leq n\}}$  on  $\Omega_0$ , every  $Y_n$  is a bounded, measurable function defined on the sequence space  $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{F}_\infty)$ . One can see that if  $X_0 \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (Y_n \circ \theta_1)(\omega) &= V_A(\theta_1(\omega)) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A(\theta_1(\omega)) \leq n\}} \\ &\stackrel{(g)}{=} (V_A(\omega) - 1) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A(\omega) - 1 \leq n\}} \\ &= V_A(\omega) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \leq n+1\}}(\omega) - \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \leq n+1\}}(\omega) \\ &= Y_{n+1}(\omega) - \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \leq n+1\}}(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\omega \in \Omega_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , where the step (g) holds since if  $V_A(\omega) \geq 1$ , then  $V_A(\omega) = V_A(\theta_1(\omega)) + 1$ . Thus,  $Y_n = (Y_{n-1} \circ \theta_1) + \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \leq n\}}$  on  $\Omega_0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_x [V_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \leq n\}}] &= \mathbb{E}_x [Y_n] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x [Y_{n-1} \circ \theta_1] + \mathbb{P}_x \{V_A \leq n\} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_x [Y_{n-1} \circ \theta_1 | \mathcal{F}_1]] + \mathbb{P}_x \{V_A \leq n\} \\ &\stackrel{(h)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_{X_1} [Y_{n-1}]] + \mathbb{P}_x \{V_A \leq n\}, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where the step (h) is due to the Markov property (*Theorem 5.2.3* in [1]). Here,  $\{\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  refers to the canonical filtration of  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . By letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the equation (22), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &\stackrel{(i)}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \uparrow \mathbb{E}_x [V_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \leq n\}}] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \uparrow \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_{X_1} [Y_{n-1}]] + \mathbb{P}_x \{V_A < +\infty\} \\ &\stackrel{(j)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_{X_1} [V_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A < +\infty\}}]] + 1 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{E}_{X_1} [V_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A < +\infty\}}] \mathbb{1}_{\{X_1=y\}} \right] + 1 \\ &\stackrel{(k)}{=} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_{X_1} [V_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A < +\infty\}}] \mathbb{1}_{\{X_1=y\}}] + 1 \\ &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{E}_x [\mathbb{E}_y [V_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A < +\infty\}}] \mathbb{1}_{\{X_1=y\}}] + 1 \\ &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} p(x, y) \mathbb{E}_y [V_A \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A < +\infty\}}] + 1 \\ &\stackrel{(l)}{=} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} p(x, y) \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_y [V_A]}_{= g(y)} + 1, \end{aligned}$$

thereby it establishes our desired result. The steps (i)–(l) can be validated via the following reasons:

- (i) the equality (21);
- (j) the monotone convergence theorem together with the assumption  $\mathbb{P}_x \{V_A = +\infty\} = 0$ ;
- (k) the Fubini-Tonelli's theorem, since the summands are non-negative;
- (l) to see this step, we should verify that  $\mathbb{P}_y \{V_A < +\infty\} = 1$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{S}$ . By subtracting (20) from

1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \mathbb{P}_x \{V_A < +\infty\} \\
&= 1 - \mathbb{P}_x \{V_A = +\infty\} \\
&= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} p(x, y) - \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} p(x, y) \cdot \mathbb{P}_y \{V_A = +\infty\} \\
&= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} p(x, y) \cdot \mathbb{P}_y \{V_A < +\infty\} \\
&\leq \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} p(x, y) \\
&= 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

so all the inequalities in (23) are in fact equalities. Thus, we get  $\mathbb{P}_y \{V_A < +\infty\} = 1$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{S}$ .

Combining all the arguments of the above two cases completes the proof of the problem (i).

(ii) For convenience, we define  $M_n := g(X_{n \wedge V_A}) + (n \wedge V_A)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , for any function  $g : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  satisfying the given condition (\*). Then,  $M_n$  can be written by

$$M_n = \{g(X_n) + n\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A > n\}} + \sum_{k=0}^n \{g(X_k) + k\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A = k\}}. \tag{24}$$

Let  $L := \sup \{|g(x)| : x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A\}$ , which is finite since  $\mathbb{S} \setminus A$  is a finite set. If  $V_A > n$ , then  $X_n \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A$  and it follows that

$$|\{g(X_n) + n\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A > n\}}| \leq (L + n) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A > n\}}. \tag{25}$$

Also, since  $\{V_A = k\} = \{X_0 \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A, \dots, X_{k-1} \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A, X_k \in A\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_\mu [g(X_k) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A=k\}}] &= \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[ \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{S} \setminus A}(X_j) \{ \mathbb{1}_A(X_k) g(X_k) \} \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[ \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{S} \setminus A}(X_j) g(X_k) \right] \\
&\stackrel{(m)}{=} \sum_{x_0 \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A} \mu(x_0) \left[ \sum_{x_1 \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A} p(x_0, x_1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left[ \cdots \left[ \sum_{x_{k-1} \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A} p(x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}) \underbrace{\left[ \sum_{x_k \in \mathbb{S}} p(x_{k-1}, x_k) g(x_k) \right]}_{= g(x_{k-1})} \right] \cdots \right] \right] \\
&\stackrel{(n)}{=} \sum_{x_0 \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A} \mu(x_0) \left[ \sum_{x_1 \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A} p(x_0, x_1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left[ \cdots \left[ \sum_{x_{k-1} \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A} p(x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}) g(x_{k-1}) \right] \cdots \right] \right] \\
&\stackrel{(o)}{=} \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{S} \setminus A}(X_j) g(X_{k-1}) \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\mu [g(X_{k-1}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A=k\}}] \\
&\stackrel{(p)}{\leq} L \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A=k\}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Here, the above steps (m)–(p) are based on the following reasons:

- (m) the equation (5.2.3) in [1];
- (n) the function  $g$  obeys the condition (\*);
- (o) the equation (5.2.3) in [1];
- (p) if  $V_A = k$ , then  $X_{k-1} \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A$  and so  $g(X_{k-1}) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A=k\}} \leq L \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A=k\}}$ .

Combining (24) together with two pieces (25) and (26) yields for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,

$$|M_n| \leq (L + n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A > n\}} + \sum_{k=0}^n (L + k) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A = k\}} \leq L + n,$$

thereby  $M_n \in L^1(\Omega_0, \mathcal{F}_\infty, \mathbb{P}_\mu)$ , i.e., each  $M_n$  is  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -integrable. Now, we will prove that  $\mathbb{E}_\mu [M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \stackrel{\mathbb{P}_\mu\text{-a.s.}}{=} M_{n-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We begin by noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_\mu [M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= \mathbb{E}_\mu [\{g(X_n) + n\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \geq n\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_\mu [\{g(X_k) + k\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A = k\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\
&\stackrel{(q)}{=} \mathbb{E}_\mu [\{g(X_n) + n\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \geq n\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \{g(X_k) + k\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A = k\}}
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -almost surely, where the step (q) follows from the fact that  $\{g(X_k) + k\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A=k\}}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_k$ -measurable for  $k \in [0 : n - 1]$ . At this point, we claim the following statement.

**Claim 3.**  $\mathbb{E}_\mu [\{g(X_n) + n\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \geq n\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \stackrel{\mathbb{P}_\mu\text{-a.s.}}{=} \{g(X_{n-1}) + (n-1)\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \geq n\}}.$

*Proof of Claim 3.*

To begin with, we notice that  $\{g(X_{n-1}) + (n-1)\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \geq n\}}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ -measurable. As in the proof of Claim 2, let  $\mathcal{P}_k := \{\{\omega \in \Omega_0 : \omega_0 \in A_0, \omega_1 \in A_1, \dots, \omega_k \in A_k\} : A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{S} = 2^{\mathbb{S}}\}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then,  $\mathcal{P}_k$  is a  $\pi$ -system on  $\Omega_0$  with  $\mathcal{F}_k = \sigma(\mathcal{P}_k)$ . Also, define

$$\mathcal{L}_k := \{E \in \mathcal{F}_k : \mathbb{E}_\mu [\{g(X_{k+1}) + (k+1)\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \geq k+1\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_E] = \mathbb{E}_\mu [\{g(X_k) + k\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \geq k+1\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_E]\}, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

It suffices to show that  $\mathcal{L}_{n-1} = \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ . As a next step, we prove  $\mathcal{P}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{n-1}$ . Given any  $E \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ , it can be written by

$$E = \{\omega \in \Omega_0 : \omega_0 \in A_0, \omega_1 \in A_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1} \in A_{n-1}\}$$

for some  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1} \in \mathcal{S} = 2^{\mathbb{S}}$ . It's clear from  $\{V_A \geq n\} = \{X_0 \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A, X_1 \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A, \dots, X_{n-1} \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A\}$  that

$$\mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \geq n\}}(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{S} \setminus A}(\omega_k), \forall \omega \in \Omega_0.$$

Hence, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\mu [\{g(X_n) + n\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \geq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_E] &= \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[ \left( \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{(\mathbb{S} \setminus A) \cap A_k}(X_k) \right) \{g(X_n) + n\} \right] \\ &\stackrel{(r)}{=} \sum_{x_0 \in (\mathbb{S} \setminus A) \cap A_0} \mu(x_0) \left[ \sum_{x_1 \in (\mathbb{S} \setminus A) \cap A_1} p(x_0, x_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left[ \dots \left[ \sum_{x_{n-1} \in (\mathbb{S} \setminus A) \cap A_{n-1}} p(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \left[ \sum_{x_n \in \mathbb{S}} p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \{g(x_n) + n\} \right] \dots \right] \right] \\ &\stackrel{(s)}{=} \sum_{x_0 \in (\mathbb{S} \setminus A) \cap A_0} \mu(x_0) \left[ \sum_{x_1 \in (\mathbb{S} \setminus A) \cap A_1} p(x_0, x_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left[ \dots \left[ \sum_{x_{n-1} \in (\mathbb{S} \setminus A) \cap A_{n-1}} p(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \{g(x_{n-1}) + (n-1)\} \dots \right] \right] \\ &\stackrel{(t)}{=} \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[ \left( \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{(\mathbb{S} \setminus A) \cap A_k}(X_k) \right) \{g(X_{n-1}) + (n-1)\} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\mu [\{g(X_{n-1}) + (n-1)\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \geq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_E], \end{aligned}$$

thereby  $E \in \mathcal{L}_{n-1}$ . Each steps (r)–(t) are valid since:

(r) the equation (5.2.3) in [1];

(s) for  $x_{n-1} \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x_n \in \mathbb{S}} p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \{g(x_n) + n\} &= \left[ 1 + \sum_{x_n \in \mathbb{S}} p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \right] + (n-1) \\ &= g(x_{n-1}) + (n-1), \end{aligned}$$



because the function  $g$  satisfies the condition (\*);

(t) the equation (5.2.3) in [1].

Therefore,  $\mathcal{P}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{n-1}$ . From the same argument as in the proof of Claim 2, one can easily see that  $\mathcal{L}_{n-1}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system on  $\Omega_0$ . Employing the  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  theorem, we eventually obtain  $\mathcal{L}_{n-1} = \sigma(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}) = \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ , and this completes the proof of Claim 3. □

Finally, we can finish the proof of the statement (ii) of this problem. Indeed, from (27) one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_\mu [M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= \mathbb{E}_\mu [\{g(X_n) + n\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \geq n\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \{g(X_k) + k\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A = k\}} \\
&\stackrel{(u)}{=} \{g(X_{n-1}) + (n-1)\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \geq n\}} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \{g(X_k) + k\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A = k\}} \\
&= \{g(X_{n-1}) + (n-1)\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A \geq n-1\}} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \{g(X_k) + k\} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A = k\}} \\
&= M_{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -almost surely, where the step (u) follows from Claim 3. So,  $\{M_n = g(X_{n \wedge V_A}) + (n \wedge V_A)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a martingale with respect to the canonical filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  for any function  $g : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  that satisfies the condition (\*). As a final remark, we note that the statement (ii) also holds for any bounded function  $g : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies the condition (\*). The  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -integrability is immediate from the boundedness of  $g$ , and the remaining steps are completely identical. Hence,  $\{g(X_{n \wedge V_A}) + (n \wedge V_A)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a martingale with respect to the canonical filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  for any non-negative or bounded function  $g : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  obeying the condition (\*).

(iii) Let  $h : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any function satisfying

$$h(x) = 1 + \sum_{y \in \mathbb{S}} p(x, y)h(y), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A,$$

together with  $h(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in A$ . So,  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{S}} |h(x)| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A} |h(x)| < +\infty$ , since  $\mathbb{S} \setminus A$  is finite. Thus,  $h$  is bounded and likewise,  $g$  is also a bounded function which satisfies the condition (\*) and  $g(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in A$ . As the second statement (ii) of this problem holds for any bounded function satisfying the condition (\*), both  $\{g(X_{n \wedge V_A}) + (n \wedge V_A)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  and  $\{h(X_{n \wedge V_A}) + (n \wedge V_A)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  are martingales with respect to the canonical filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . Now, define  $f := g - h : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $\{f(X_{n \wedge V_A})\}_{n=0}^\infty$  also forms a martingale with respect to the canonical filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ , since

$$f(X_{n \wedge V_A}) = \{g(X_{n \wedge V_A}) + (n \wedge V_A)\} - \{h(X_{n \wedge V_A}) + (n \wedge V_A)\}.$$

Hence for any  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) &= \mathbb{E}_x [f(X_{0 \wedge V_A})] \\
&\stackrel{(v)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [f(X_{n \wedge V_A})] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x [f(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A > n\}}] + \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbb{E}_x [f(X_k) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A = k\}}] \\
&\stackrel{(w)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [f(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A > n\}}],
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , where the step (v) holds since  $\{f(X_{n \wedge V_A})\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a martingale with respect to  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ , and the step (w) is owing to the fact that if  $V_A = k$ , then  $X_k \in A$  together with the fact  $f(x) = g(x) - h(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in A$ . As both  $g$  and  $h$  are bounded, so is  $f$ . Thus,  $L := \sup \{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{S}\} < +\infty$ . Then, we obtain from (28) that

$$|f(x)| = |\mathbb{E}_x [f(X_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A > n\}}]| \leq \mathbb{E}_x [ |f(X_n)| \mathbb{1}_{\{V_A > n\}} ] \leq L \cdot \mathbb{P}_x \{V_A > n\} \tag{29}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

On the other hand, it's clear that  $\mathbb{S} \setminus A$  is finite, and  $\mathbb{P}_x \{T_A < +\infty\} = \mathbb{P}_x \{V_A < +\infty\} < +\infty$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A$  from the assumptions of the problem. So, we can apply Problem (3) (*Exercise 5.2.6.* in [1]): there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\mathbb{P}_y \{T_A > kN\} \leq (1 - \epsilon)^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{S} \setminus C$ . Plugging  $n = kN$  into the bound (29) produces for every  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A$ ,

$$|f(x)| = L \cdot \mathbb{P}_x \{V_A > kN\} = L \cdot \mathbb{P}_x \{T_A > kN\} \leq L(1 - \epsilon)^k$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , it gives  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{S} \setminus A$ . Hence,  $f = g - h \equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{S}$ , thereby  $h(x) = g(x) = \mathbb{E}_x [V_A]$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{S}$ . This establishes our desired result.

## References

- [1] Rick Durrett. *Probability: theory and examples*, volume 49. Cambridge university press, 2019.