

MAS651 Theory of Stochastic Processes

Homework #11

20150597 Jeonghwan Lee

Department of Mathematical Sciences, KAIST

June 15, 2021

Throughout this homework, let \mathbb{Z}_+ denote the set of all non-negative integers, \mathbb{R}_+ be the set of all non-negative real numbers, and $[a : b] := \{a, a + 1, \dots, b - 1, b\}$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a \leq b$. We also write $[n] := [1 : n]$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, \uplus denotes the *disjoint union*, and given a set A and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\binom{A}{k} := \{B \subseteq A : |B| = k\}$.

Problem 1 (*Exercise 8.4.1. in [1]*).

We recall from the definition of Brownian bridges that

$$\mathbb{P} \{B^0(\cdot) \in A\} = \mathbb{P} \{\pi_1 \{B(\cdot)\} \in A \mid B(1) = 0\} \quad (1)$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$, where $\pi_1(\cdot) : (\mathcal{C}([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}), \mathcal{C}([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}), \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}))$ refers to the canonical projection map defined by $\pi_1(\Phi) := \Phi|_{[0, 1]}$, $\{B^0(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ is a Brownian bridge defined on the underlying probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and $\{B(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Let $\{M(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ be the maximum process of the standard one-dimensional Brownian motion $\{B(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$, *i.e.*,

$$M(t) := \max \{B(s) : s \in [0, t]\}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \{\max \{B^0(t) : t \in [0, 1]\} > b\} &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathbb{P} \{\max \{B(t) : t \in [0, 1]\} > b \mid B(1) = 0\} \\ &= \mathbb{P} \{M(1) > b \mid B(1) = 0\} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P} \{M(1) > b, B(1) = 0\}}{p_1(0, 0)} \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \frac{\mathbb{P} \{T_b < 1, B(1) = 0\}}{p_1(0, 0)} \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{=} \frac{p_1(0, 2b)}{p_1(0, 0)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(2b)^2 \right\}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}} \\ &= \exp(-2b^2), \end{aligned}$$

as desired, where the steps (a)–(c) can be justified as follows:

(a) the equation (1);

(b) The \mathbb{P} -a.s. continuity of the Brownian paths implies $\{M(1) > b\} \cap \mathcal{B} = \{T_b < 1\} \cap \mathcal{B}$, where

$$\mathcal{B} := \{\omega \in \Omega : \text{the sample path of } \{B(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \text{ is continuous everywhere.}\} \in \mathcal{F},$$

since $B(0) = 0$. Thus we have $\mathbb{P} \{\{M(1) > b\} \Delta \{T_b < 1\}\} = 0$;

(c) the *Exercise 7.4.3-(b)* in [1].

Problem 2 (*Exercise 8.4.2.* in [1]).

Let $\{B(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ be a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and $\{X(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ be a continuous-time stochastic process on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ given by

$$X(t) := (1-t)B\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1),$$

and $X(1) := 0$. Let $\mathcal{B} := \{\omega \in \Omega : \text{the sample path of } \{B(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \text{ is continuous everywhere}\} \in \mathcal{F}$. It's clear that the sample path of $\{X(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ at $\omega \in \mathcal{B}$ is continuous on $[0, 1)$. According to *Theorem 7.2.6* in [1], we know that

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0^+} t \cdot B\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) = 0 \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-almost surely.}$$

Let $\mathcal{E} := \left\{\omega \in \Omega : \lim_{t \downarrow 0^+} t \cdot B_{\frac{1}{t}}(\omega) = 0\right\} \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $\mathbb{P}\{\mathcal{B}\} = \mathbb{P}\{\mathcal{E}\} = 1$, we obtain $\mathbb{P}\{\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{E}\} = 1$ and when $\omega \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{E}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \uparrow 1^-} X_t(\omega) &= \lim_{t \uparrow 1^-} (1-t)B_{\frac{t}{1-t}}(\omega) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{B_u(\omega)}{u} \cdot \frac{u}{1+u} \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \lim_{v \downarrow 0^+} v \cdot B_{\frac{1}{v}}(\omega) \cdot \frac{1}{1+v} \\ &= 0 = X_1(\omega), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the step (a) makes use of the substitution $u = \frac{t}{1-t}$, and the step (b) utilizes the substitution $v = \frac{1}{u}$. Thus we may conclude that the sample path of $\{X(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ at $\omega \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{E}$ is continuous everywhere, thereby the sample path of $\{X(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ is continuous everywhere for \mathbb{P} -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$.

Now, it remains to show that $\{X(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ has the same joint law as $\{B^0(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ under \mathbb{P} , where $\{B^0(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ is a Brownian bridge defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Since $X(0) = X(1) = B^0(0) = B^0(1) = 0$ \mathbb{P} -almost surely, it suffices to prove that for every $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n < 1$,

$$(X(t_1), X(t_2), \dots, X(t_n)) \stackrel{d}{=} (B^0(t_1), B^0(t_2), \dots, B^0(t_n)) \tag{3}$$

under \mathbb{P} . Since we know from the *equation 8.4.3* in [1] that $\{B^0(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ and $\{B(t) - t \cdot B(1) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ have the same joint law under \mathbb{P} . From

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} B^0(t_1) \\ B^0(t_2) \\ \vdots \\ B^0(t_n) \end{bmatrix} &\stackrel{d}{=} \begin{bmatrix} B(t_1) - t_1 \cdot B(1) \\ B(t_2) - t_2 \cdot B(1) \\ \vdots \\ B(t_n) - t_n \cdot B(1) \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -t_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -t_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -t_n \end{bmatrix}}_{=: \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n+1)}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B(t_1) \\ B(t_2) - B(t_1) \\ \vdots \\ B(t_n) - B(t_{n-1}) \\ B(1) - B(t_n) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

together with the fact that

$$\begin{bmatrix} B(t_1) \\ B(t_2) - B(t_1) \\ \vdots \\ B(t_n) - B(t_{n-1}) \\ B(1) - B(t_n) \end{bmatrix} \sim \bigotimes_{k=1}^{n+1} \mathbf{N}_1(0, t_k - t_{k-1}) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{N}_{n+1}(\mathbf{0}, \text{diag}(t_1, t_2 - t_1, \dots, t_n - t_{n-1}, 1 - t_n)),$$

where $t_0 := 0$, $t_1 := 1$, $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ denotes the $(n+1)$ -dimensional zero vector, and $\mathbf{N}_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ refers to the d -dimensional normal distribution with mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, we arrive at

$$\begin{bmatrix} B^0(t_1) \\ B^0(t_2) \\ \vdots \\ B^0(t_n) \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathbf{N}_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A} \cdot \text{diag}(t_1, t_2 - t_1, \dots, t_n - t_{n-1}, 1 - t_n) \cdot \mathbf{A}^\top),$$

where $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the n -dimensional zero vector. By involving some straightforward calculations, we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 := \mathbf{A} \cdot \text{diag}(t_1, t_2 - t_1, \dots, t_n - t_{n-1}, 1 - t_n) \cdot \mathbf{A}^\top = [t_{\min\{i,j\}}(1 - t_{\max\{i,j\}})]_{(i,j) \in [n] \times [n]}. \quad (4)$$

Note that the equation (4) can be obtained directly from the *equation 8.4.5* in [1].

On the other hand, we can easily observe that $(X(t_1), X(t_2), \dots, X(t_n))$ follows an n -dimensional normal distribution with zero mean vector since

$$\begin{bmatrix} X(t_1) \\ X(t_2) \\ \vdots \\ X(t_n) \end{bmatrix} = \text{diag}(1 - t_1, 1 - t_2, \dots, 1 - t_n) \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} B\left(\frac{t_1}{1-t_1}\right) \\ B\left(\frac{t_2}{1-t_2}\right) \\ \vdots \\ B\left(\frac{t_n}{1-t_n}\right) \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{normally distributed!}}.$$

So it suffices to compute the covariance matrix of $(X(t_1), X(t_2), \dots, X(t_n))$, say $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2]_{ij} &= \text{Cov}[X(t_i), X(t_j)] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[(1 - t_i)(1 - t_j) \cdot B\left(\frac{t_i}{1-t_i}\right) B\left(\frac{t_j}{1-t_j}\right) \right] \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{=} (1 - t_i)(1 - t_j) \cdot \min \left\{ \frac{t_i}{1-t_i}, \frac{t_j}{1-t_j} \right\} \\ &= t_{\min\{i,j\}}(1 - t_{\max\{i,j\}}) \\ &= [\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1]_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

for every $(i, j) \in [n] \times [n]$, where the step (c) follows from the *Property (b')* in pp.355 of [1], thereby we have $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2$. This establishes our desired result (3) and this proves the claim that $\{X(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ has the same joint law as $\{B^0(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ under \mathbb{P} . Hence, $\{X(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ is a Brownian bridge defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ as desired.

References

- [1] Rick Durrett. *Probability: theory and examples*, volume 49. Cambridge university press, 2019.